WILDLIFE HABITAT INCENTIVES PROGRAM

WHIP

2008

Implementation Plan

And

Instructions

Natural Resources Conservation Service Columbia, South Carolina

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents
2008 Application and Evaluation Instructions
Introduction
State Objectives
State Wildlife Priorities
Partnership Involvement
Program Delivery
Approved Practices for Cost Share
Funding Needs
Ranking Process
Quality Assurance
Measuring Program Success
Special WHIP Projects
Wildlife Habitat Evaluation
NRCS-LTP-15. SC Revised 11/04

2008 Application and Evaluation Instructions

PROCEDURE

- 1. After reviewing the Implementation Plan, print and/or copy only the forms needed to complete the application and evaluation.
- 2. Complete a Wildlife Habitat Evaluation for all land uses and fields in the contract area for the existing condition.
- 3. Determine the practices needed based on the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation.
- 4. Complete the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation for all land uses and fields for the planned condition.
- 5. When preparing WHIP Application and Evaluation Worksheets, select all practices necessary to implement a sound wildlife habitat conservation plan. The practices listed in this document represent typical wildlife habitat improvement practices for South Carolina. However, please remember that any practice on our cost list that is necessary to facilitate a wildlife habitat conservation plan may be included in the WHIP plan.
- 6. After you are notified of the contracts funded, forward the completed worksheet to the program specialist.

NOTE: Incomplete worksheets will be returned to the field office for completion prior to being placed on the state-ranking list for funding.

GUIDANCE

Use the **Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Worksheets** for planning purposes and to assist in the location of practices. National Quality Criteria for wildlife habitat has been set at .5 or 50% of the potential habitat value of the land, existing or planned, regardless of the land use (wildlife as a secondary land use). If wildlife is the primary land use, a minimum of .75 or 75% of the potential wildlife habitat potential must be met. Complete an evaluation for ranking purposes which will assist the planner in identifying the limiting factors on the offered acres. The application of conservation practices must address the limiting factors identified and move the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Index score to above the minimum index value of potential wildlife habitat for that land use. (.5 or .75 depending on the land use)

Waterfowl impoundments are not authorized for cost-share.

Cost share for land clearing is authorized for the establishment of permanent fire breaks (12 - 15 ft. wide) and the creation of **forest openings for enhancement of wildlife habitat** which is limited to 2 acres or less. See Forest Stand Improvement (666) for Wildlife Habitat.

Landowners may create forest openings in pine stands as needed to enhance the diversity of habitat for wildlife. Cost share is authorized for, planting of native grasses/legume or forbs and prescribed burning. Cost-shared openings must be separated by at least 600 feet and have a 25ft field border around 75% of the field if they will be planted to annuals to optimize utilization based on the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation. The field border may be permanent or properly managed early successional vegetation.

Remember that forest openings for wildlife are 0.5 to 2.0 acres in size, and they may be planted to an annual seed crop, the year that they are disked (every 3 years), and then left fallow for two years. If landowners have existing openings that are less than the minimum acreage requirement of 0.5 ac, clearing is authorized to enlarge them to meet our requirements. As a reminder: Use caution in areas where hydric soils may be present, to ensure that these practices are not "...for the purpose of or to have the effect of making possible the production of an agricultural commodity" as defined by the Food Security Act of 1985. Forest Openings for Wildlife, if planted to an annual, could be considered an FSA wetland violation if not on upland soils.

If the proposed application includes prescribed burning (338) of pine stands, then one of two conditions **MUST** apply: (1) The stand must currently have a basal area of 60 - 80 square feet per acre or (2) the pine stand must be thinned to a BA of 60 - 80 square feet per acre **PRIOR** to the application of the prescribed burn.

Prescribed burning (338): A detailed prescribed burning plan must be prepared by *SCFC* or other *Certified Prescribe Fire Manager* for each contract with a prescribed burn plan. A copy of the burn plan must be in the contract folder prior to submitting for payment. The recommendation for a prescribed burn in South Carolina has always been outside of the primary nesting season (April 1 – September 1). Recent studies have shown that growing season burns that occur between April 1st and September 1st can enhance wildlife habitat and are less harmful to wildlife than previously believed. If all of the conditions necessary are suitable for a growing season burn to benefit wildlife habitat, that option will be available with concurrence from one of the NRCS biologist.

Hedgerows (422): The purpose of hedgerows is to subdivide larger fields into smaller fields (open land). The typical hedgerow is 25 to 50 feet wide and my be planted to the flowing species; wild cherry, persimmon, red cedar, American elderberry, sassafras, wild plum, muscadine grape, wax myrtle, blueberries, flowering dogwood, southern crabapple.

Tree/Shrub Establishment (612) Tree and shrub plantings are cost sharable for hedgerow establishment, longleaf pine establishment or solid hardwood plantings up to 2 acres in a location, only. The hardwoods shall be planted on a 10 X 10 spacing resulting in 435 trees per acre. Remember to include appropriate Forest Site Prep, to reduce competition, and firebreaks to protect these areas from fire.

Firebreaks (394): Permanent firebreaks 12-15 feet wide are cost-shared for establishment through disking or land clearing. They are generally perimeter firebreaks and 1 or 2 internal breaks permanently located which can be disked at time of burning. Water bars should be planned and implemented if they are needed on sites that are susceptible to erosion. Temporary breaks with fire plows are included in the prescribed burning cost-share, and should not be a separate item.

Land Clearing (460): Land clearing is authorized for the creation of Forest openings. These openings must be at a minimum of 600 ft apart and be at least 0.5 acre and 2.0 acres or less in size. If there are existing openings, but are less that 0.5 ac. they may be enlarged to meet our requirements.

As a reminder: Use caution in areas where hydric soils may be present, to ensure that these practices are not "...for the purpose of or to have the effect of making possible the production of an agricultural commodity" as defined by the Food Security Act of 1985. Forest Openings for Wildlife, if planted to an annual, could be considered an FSA wetland violation if not on upland soils.

Forest Site Preparation (490): This practice may include herbicide treatment to control undesirable herbaceous weeds, grasses, or woody vegetation, in conjunction with tree planting (can be used before and/or after planting).

Forest Site Preparation (490) –Herbaceous Weed Control: This may be used in the implementation of early successional habitat management (rotational disking) to allow for adequate germination and growth of desirable plants, grasses and forbs that will benefit wildlife.

Pest Management (595) – Terrestrial pest management and Aquatic pest management for invasive species. Treatments are for those species listed on the South Carolina list of Major Invasive Species of Concern. (EFOTG/Section I/Reference List/Major Invasive Species of Concern in South Carolina) Multiple treatments may be required to control many of the terrestrial species listed. This practice may be used when the project is converting pasture and hayland species to native warm season grasses for wildlife. Biological control (fish) and or chemical control may be considered for the listed aquatic species. Contact an NRCS biologist for assistance with planning.

Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643)-- Restoring and managing rare and declining habitat and their associated wildlife species to conserve biodiversity. This practice provides the option of selecting native vegetation from regionally local ecotype seed sources. Local ecotype varieties (plants native to and grown in SC, NC, GA) are suitably adapted to precipitation, elevation, temperature, fitness and general environmental conditions found in the Southeast. Native warm season grass and forb establishment using local ecotype seed helps to maintain genetic integrity and fitness of herbaceous vegetation, as well as enhance overall quality of natural plant communities. This practice can include planting wiregrass plugs in suitable areas. Contact an NRCS biologist for planning assistance.

Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) – practice – establishment of native season grasses and forbs. This component should be used for wildlife habitat enhancement (providing nesting, travel, and escape cover, as well as food in the form of seeds and insects). Be sure non-native grasses like Fescue, Bahia, Bermuda, Johnson Grass, Vasey's Grass, and Crabgrass are eradicated before planting. Use Pest Management (595) to help facilitate this practice as needed to control undesirable plants. Plant areas with 3 species of native grasses and 2 species of native forbs from the following lists: **Grasses:**

Little Bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), Big Bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), Indian Grass (*Sorghastrum nutans*), Coastal Panic Grass (*Panicum amarum*), Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*), Eastern Gama Grass (*Tripsacum dactyloides*), Virginia Wild Rye (*Elymus virginicus*), Purple Top (*Tridens flavus*)

Forbs/legumes:

Florida beggar lice or Dixie Tick Trefoil (*Desmodium tortuosum*)

Showy Tick Trefoil (*Desmodium canadense*)

Showy Tickseed Sunflower (Bidens aristosa)

Tickseed (Coreopsis lanceolata or grandiflora)

Ox Eye Sunflower (Heliopsis helianthoides)

Evening Primrose (*Oenothera biennis*)

Black-eyed Susan (Rudbeckia hirta)

American Vetch (Vicia americana)

Purple Coneflower (Echinacea purpurea)

Lemon Beebalm (Monarda citriodora)

Partridge Pea (Chaemecrista faciculata)

Maximillian Sunflower (Helianthus maximiliani)

Illinois bundle flower (Desmanthus illinoensi)

Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) – practice - Herbicides - This component should be used to set back succession in clear cuts. It may be feasible on clear cuts that are 40 acres or less in size or in 50-75 ft. strips in any clear cut that will be replanted to pines for production at 500 or less trees per acre. Herbicide application will not be allowed more frequently than every 3 years (If Sprayed in spring of 2008 then the next spraying would be in the spring of 2011.) No trees shall be planted in a field or portion of a field that is going to be sprayed.

Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) - practice - Legumes/Forbs - Some additional planting may be desired to improve overall quality of the wildlife habitat. The following legumes/forbs may be cost-shared for the planting of forest openings:

Florida beggar lice or Dixie Tick Trefoil (Desmodium tortuosum)

Showy Tick Trefoil (*Desmodium canadense*)

Showy Tickseed Sunflower (Bidens aristosa)

Tickseed (*Coreopsis lanceolata* or *grandiflora*)

Ox Eye Sunflower (*Heliopsis helianthoides*)

Evening Primrose (*Oenothera biennis*)

Black-eyed Susan (Rudbeckia hirta)

American Vetch (Vicia americana)

Purple Coneflower (*Echinacea purpurea*)

Lemon Beebalm (Monarda citriodora)

Partridge Pea (Chaemecrista faciculata)

Maximillian Sunflower (Helianthus maximiliani)

Illinois bundle flower (Desmanthus illinoensi)

Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) – to meet Quality Criteria for reporting 645. Use the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Worksheets and the Wildlife Habitat Index (WHI) value for the planned acres to determine if National Quality Criteria for wildlife habitat has been met for reporting purposes. Land that has been designated "wildlife" as the **primary** land use must meet a minimum wildlife habitat index value planned, of .75. If wildlife is a **secondary** land use (i.e., primary may be forest, cropland, hayland / pasture) the planned wildlife habitat index value must meet .5. Please keep in mind that if you are reporting land adjacent to where any conservation practices have taken place as 645 applied, that land must also meet the wildlife habitat index minimum value for that land use.

When reporting 645, the following cost share rates apply according to the benefit indicated by the wildlife habitat index (Planned WHI value – Existing WHI value = benefit value):

645: Wildlife Habitat Index value increases by .01. - .24, payment = \$1.00/ac Wildlife Habitat Index value increases by .25. - .49, payment = \$2.00/ac Wildlife Habitat Index value increases by .50 or more, payment = \$3.00/ac

Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644) - When reporting 644, the following cost share rate applies on land where 644 has been applied: flat rate payment = \$2.00/ac

Field Border (386) - Will be maintained in early successional vegetation by use of rotational disking or native warm season grasses and forbs. If the borders are to be planted, be sure non-native grasses like Fescue, Bahia, Bermuda, Johnson Grass, Vasey's Grass, and Crabgrass are eradicated before planting. Use Pest Management (595) to help facilitate this practice as needed to control undesirable plants. The following native grasses and legumes/forbs may be cost-shared for the planting of field borders. They should be planted in a mix to add more diversity. **The following plant species can be used:**

Grasses:

Little Bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), Big Bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), Indian Grass (*Sorghastrum nutans*), Coastal Panic Grass (*Panicum amarum*), Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*), Eastern Gama Grass (*Tripsacum dactyloides*), Virginia Wild Rye (*Elymus virginicus*), Purple Top (*Tridens flavus*)

Forbs/Legumes:

Florida beggar lice or Dixie Tick Trefoil (*Desmodium tortuosum*)

Showy Tick Trefoil (*Desmodium canadense*)

Showy Tickseed Sunflower (Bidens aristosa)

Tickseed (*Coreopsis lanceolata* or *grandiflora*)

Ox Eye Sunflower (*Heliopsis helianthoides*)

Evening Primrose (*Oenothera biennis*)

Black-eyed Susan (*Rudbeckia hirta*)

American Vetch (Vicia americana)

Purple Coneflower (*Echinacea purpurea*)

Lemon Beebalm (Monarda citriodora)

Partridge Pea (Chaemecrista faciculata)

Maximillian Sunflower (Helianthus maximiliani)

Illinois bundle flower (Desmanthus illinoensi)

Early Successional Wildlife Habitat (647) - The purpose of this practice is to improve diversity in the plant communities in a given area. This is achieved through cultivation. Refer to Job sheets for examples on achieving this objective. Use Pest Management (595) – to help facilitate this practice as needed to control undesirable plants such as common Bermuda, bahia, and fescue. If fallow fields or openings are to be managed for early successional vegetation or native grasses/ species, and are larger than 2 acres, the field may be divided with a hedgerow, 25 – 50 feet wide.

Forest Stand Improvement (666), Mechanical treatment for the improvement of forested land and regenerating cutover land for the benefit of wildlife. This practice may include mechanized removal of under story or mid story woody vegetation, such as with a KG blade, roller chopper, or gyro-track. Typical conditions where this practice is applicable would be dense stands of volunteer pines, myrtle or any area that lacks vertical and vegetative diversity. Refer to the Forest Opening for Wildlife job sheet when planning this practice. Forest openings for wildlife are 0.5 to 2.0 acres in size, and they may be planted to an annual seed crop, the year that they are disked (every 3 years), and then left fallow for two years. This practice is limited to a total of 10 acres per treatment. Multiple treatments may be scheduled. Mature trees, seed trees and snags should remain to provide wildlife habitat complexity. The Wildlife Habitat Index must reflect the need for creating diversity within the planning area.

As a reminder: Use caution in areas where hydric soils may be present, to ensure that these practices are not "...for the purpose of or to have the effect of making possible the production of an agricultural commodity" as defined by the Food Security Act of 1985. Forest Openings for Wildlife, if planted to an annual, could be considered a wetland violation if not on upland soils.

** Please review the most current practice standards on the EFOTG to make sure the most appropriate practices are being planned to address targeted resource concerns.

If any additional questions or further clarification is needed, then please contact the State Resource Staff.

INTRODUCTION

The Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program (WHIP) was established by the 1996 Farm Bill for the purpose of making technical and financial assistance available to landowners to develop, enhance, and restore upland wildlife, wetland wildlife, threatened and endangered species, fish and other types of wildlife habitat. South Carolina's Department of Natural Resources has identified bobwhite quail and other species associated with grassland, and early successional/shrub habitat as being a "Priority Conservation Concern" in the state. The Natural Resources Conservation Service and the State Technical Committee followed in identifying these species and habitat to also be of primary concern, in order to target technical and financial assistance to landowners in South Carolina. Because of the dependence of quail and other edge species on very specific types of early successional habitat, current land use practices (both forestry and farming) eliminate suitable nesting, brood rearing, escape, and winter cover in most instances.

STATE OBJECTIVES

- 1. Restore early successional habitat, and riparian areas.
- 2. Restore historical rice field and marshland and wetland habitat for wintering waterfowl and shorebird habitat.
- 3. Restore Longleaf Pine ecosystem, including wiregrass.
- 4. Restore and enhance trout stream habitat in the Upstate of South Carolina.
- 5. Eliminate invasive species in wetland areas of coastal counties.

STATE WILDLIFE PRIORITIES

The following priorities have been identified as needs throughout the state, and extending across state lines throughout the southeast region. Bobwhite quail populations have declined drastically in over three-fourths of the states within their geographical distribution since the 1960's. The decline has been steeper in the southeastern United States than in the mid-western or northern regions. South Carolina has been especially hard hit by the quail decline as populations have plummeted by about fifty percent since the 1980's alone.

PARTNERSHIP INVOLVEMENT

Existing partnerships were used to deliver a public information and education program to inform landowners and land users of the ecological and economic importance of wildlife habitat management. Cooperative roles by these partners were defined as delivering onsite technical assistance to evaluate habitat conditions and providing sound ecologically based recommendations, as identified by the priorities.

POTENTIAL PARTNERSHIPS ROLE

Natural Resources Conservation Service Information/Education/Technical/Financial

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Information/Education/Technical/Financial

Farm Services Agency Administrative/Information

S.C. Department of Natural Resources Information/Education/Technical

S.C. State University Cooperative Extension Service Information/Education

Clemson University Cooperative Extension Service Information/Education

Soil and Water Conservation Districts Information/Education

National Wild Turkey Federation Information/Education

Quail Unlimited Information/Education

Ducks Unlimited Information/Education/Technical

S. C. Waterfowl Association Information/Education

National Audubon Society Information/Education

S. C. Forestry Commission Information/Education/Technical

The Nature Conservancy Information/Education

S.C. Wildlife Federation Information/Education

U.S. Forest Service Information/Education

S.C. Department of Agriculture Information/Education

PROGRAM DELIVERY

There are about 1600 Forest Stewardship plans existing with unfunded practices that target wildlife habitat, fish habitat, and riparian areas. The existing unfunded practices represent significant financial assistance needs, which are consistent with the WHIP objectives and priorities. There are numerous existing conservation plans with wildlife enhancement practices that are awaiting opportunities for financial assistance to be installed.

• *Information and education delivery:* Publicize WHIP program by local newspapers, radio spot announcements, organization newsletters, SC Department of Agriculture, SC Wildlife Magazine, SCDNR brochure on all Farm Bill programs, and public meetings.

Delivery of wildlife technical expertise to field offices:

• *Technical assistance to landowners:*

NRCS - 39 field offices, 5 wildlife biologists, 7 RC&D offices.

FWS - 2 wildlife biologist

DNR - 23 wildlife biologists

SCFC - 10 foresters

DU - 1 wildlife biologist

Clemson University – 2 biologists

TYPICAL PRACTICES

Required Practices (At least one of the following must be planned):

- 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
- 644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
- 657 Wetland Development or Restoration
- 395 Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
- 647 Early Successional Habitat Management

Associated Practices:

- 560 Access Road
- 342 Critical Area Planting (native grasses/legumes only)
- 356 Dike
- 386 Field Borders (early successional vegetation or planted native grasses/legumes only)
- 394 Firebreaks
- 490 Forest Site Preparation
- 666 Forest Stand Improvement
- 422 Hedgerow Planting (Shrubs and Mast producing hardwoods)
- 460 Land Clearing (permanent firebreaks and forest openings 2 acres. or less only)
- 338 Prescribed Burning
- 391 Riparian Forest Buffer
- 612 Tree/Shrub Establishment (Longleaf Pines, and (Hardwoods up to 2 acres in plots)
- 512 Pasture/Hayland Planting (Native Warm Season Grasses only)
- 382 Fence (livestock exclusion)

The practices listed in this document represent typical wildlife habitat improvement practices for South Carolina. However, please remember that any practice (i.e., a water control structure for wetland restoration) on our cost list that is necessary to facilitate a wildlife habitat conservation plan may be included in the WHIP plan.

FUNDING NEEDS

Technical Assistance funds are used to participate in training, site evaluations, and plan development consistent with WHIP objectives. Technical assistance funds contributed by partnership consisted of in-kind assistance through participating in education programs, training sessions, public information distribution, and on-site technical assistance in preparing WHIP plans.

Financial Assistance funds are used in conjunction with partnership and participant funds to implement the approved practices as detailed in the WHIP plans.

RANKING PROCESS

The ranking process is based on the National ranking tool which includes National ranking questions, State ranking questions and local ranking questions. The Wildlife Habitat Evaluation net effect of the plan is addressed in the state ranking question section of the ranking tool.

QUALITY ASSURANCE

The NRCS district conservationist will complete a status review of each contract before the end of the fiscal year, noting progress in applying the conservation plan or WHDP, need for revision, condition of practice installed, and need for technical assistance.

- 1. Complete a status review each fiscal year until all required practices are installed. Reviews will be conducted with the contract participant, if possible.
- 2. Status reviews may be conducted at any time of year.
- 3. Expiring contracts and must be reviewed at least 90 days before expiration and a new Wildlife Habitat Evaluation must be completed to document the effect of the plan.
- 4. The district conservationist has the option of monitoring activities as appropriate in conjunction with the status review.

The State Conservationist will conduct quality assurance reviews of the conservation plan or WHDP according to the national NRCS policy.

MEASURING PROGRAM SUCCESS

Information Collection: The NRCS will collect the following information to evaluate the effectiveness of the WHIP in restoring wildlife habitat. The attached wildlife habitat evaluation will be completed accordingly.

- 1. Measure practices applied under the WHIP through established reporting methods; and
- 2. Complete wildlife habitat evaluation for baseline and applied conditions as contracts expire.

Monitoring: Baseline wildlife habitat assessments will be completed at time of WHDP development. Follow-up assessments will be conducted the year all essential practices are installed, and the year of contract expiration. The following information will be collected.

- 1. Maintenance of previously applied practices.
- 2. Comparison of planned and actual conditions.
- 3. Evaluate the improvement of the change in wildlife habitat as compared to the baseline conditions.
- 4. The State Conservationist will submit pertinent information to the National Office at a frequency determined by the Program Manager at the National Office.
- 5. Digital photographs will be taken in conjunction with monitoring activities.

SPECIAL WHIP PROJECTS

On going special WHIP projects in South Carolina include South Carolina Partners Project, Partners for Trout (Foothills Resource Conservation and Development), Indian Creek Bobwhite Quail Focus Area Project, and the Georgetown Invasive Species, Beach Vitex Task Force Project on all coastal counties.

South Carolina Partners is a cooperative project on the coast addressing wintering waterfowl habitat in coastal marshes by replacing rice trunk water control devises. These rice trunks allow landowners to properly management previous diked marshes and wetlands for wintering waterfowl. It's a joint project with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Ducks Unlimited. Cost rate is 50% for the trunks and installation.

Partners for Trout is a cooperative project with the Foothills RC&D Council in the Upstate of South Carolina. The participating partners in this project with the RC&D Council are S. C. Department of Natural Resources, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and Trout Unlimited. The purpose of the project is trout stream restoration and enhancement. The goal is to protect existing native trout stream habitat and restore marginal stream habitat and their associated riparian areas. The number one problem identified was thermal pollution. Phase one was to identify existing reservoirs contributing to thermal pollution of the streams and retrofit them with deep water release structures. Phase two is to identify unstable streams, which contribute to silt loading, and areas needing riparian buffer restoration.

Indian Creek Bobwhite Quail Focus Area Project. This is a cooperative project between the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, the U. S. Forest Service, Quail Unlimited, National Wild Turkey Federation and private landowners in and around the Sumter National Forest located in the Broad River area of Newberry County. The focus area comprises about 10,000 acres in the Sumter National Forest and private inholdings and tract adjacent to national forest land. The goal is to develop and demonstrate integrated management techniques with timber, cropland, and livestock producers that meet forest and farm needs and quail habitat requirements. Practices include prescribed burning, firebreaks, selective thinning, early successional habitat management, native warm season grasses and control of non-native sod forming grasses, such as fescue and bermudagrass. Quail habitat and quail response will be monitored to determine the effectiveness of the practices in a forested piedmont landscape.

Coastal Invasive Species. This is a cooperative project with SCDNR, USFWS, Nature Conservancy, NFWF PTI, Clemson University Extension Service, and the Historic Ricefields Association. The goals are to identify the extent of Phragmites infestation, increase public awareness of the adverse impacts, document effectiveness of various control methods, and implement a cost share program for Phragmites control on private lands.

Beach Vitex Task Force Project on all coastal counties of South Carolina. Beach Vitex (*Vitex rotundifolia*) is a woody shrub native to the Pacific Rim and it was planted for erosion control on South Carolina beaches in the early 1990s. The invasive nature of Beach Vitex has reduced the native beach dune vegetation such as sweet grass and sea oats. Beach vitex develops a dense woody root mass which, when present on the primary dunes along the Atlantic coast can disrupt the behavior and nesting activities of threatened loggerhead turtles. Nesting failures results when females are unable to excavate suitable sites to dig a cavity and deposit their eggs.

This project is a partnering effort with the Baruch Institute of Coastal Ecology and Forest Science, Clemson University and the Carolina Beach Vitex Task Force to identify locations where beach vitex has encroached onto primary dunes, eradicate those sites and restore native vegetation along beach dunes to protect the dunes and loggerhead turtle nesting areas.

WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION

BACKGROUND:

Natural Resources Conservation Service policy for assistance on private lands since its inception has required that conservation practice installation be accomplished with consideration for wildlife and wildlife habitat.

Application of conservation practices is generally considered to be beneficial for wildlife. Practices such as field borders, filter strips, grassed waterways, proper grazing management, and conservation tillage generally increase food, water, or cover and improve diversity for most wildlife species.

Practices such as brush management, drainage, timber stand improvement and pasture planting can reduce needed food and cover when applied without wildlife consideration. The effect of conservation practice installation on wildlife largely depends on practice selection, design, and plant species used.

It is not the responsibility of the Natural Resources Conservation Service to determine the extent to which landowners may or should consider wildlife needs in their operation. Neither does the NRCS determine which particular wildlife species should be managed. These decisions are made by the landowner based on economics, legal constraints, local conditions, and landowner objectives.

NRCS personnel have a responsibility and obligation to determine and explain to the decision maker what affect a planned system of conservation practices will have on wildlife resources of the particular land unit. Decision makers must be provided with this information in order to make intelligent and informed decisions about their property. The NRCS must have this information to assess the impact of practice installation and determine if service policy requiring consideration of wildlife is being properly followed. In the past, conservation practices were often designed and installed with little thought or

study given to their effects on wildlife, unless the landowner indicated a specific wildlife interest.

Adoption of the total resource management policy (SWAPA) in conservation planning provides that emphasis be directed to plants, air, and animals in addition to soil and water. It requires that quality criteria be established for each of the five resources. Resource management systems consisting of various conservation practices are measured against these quality criteria to determine if acceptable levels of conservation are being met. National Quality Criteria for wildlife habitat have been set at 0.5 or 50% of potential to meet the resource management system requirement, existing or planned, regardless of the land use. For a wildlife land RMS, a score of .75 or 75% is required.

In order to measure the degree to which any conservation practice meets the quality criteria, a method of evaluation is required. A subjective evaluation based on the planner's knowledge is the simplest form.

Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Index Guides provide the NRCS planner with a relatively simple and objective means of determining the value of wildlife habitat on any conservation planning unit. The guides can be used on land where wildlife is a primary objective or on land (such as cropland) where wildlife is a secondary objective. They can be used to evaluate habitat on different land uses including cropland, pastureland and forestland. Planning unit boundaries for wildlife may coincide with those delineated for cropland or forestland or a wildlife planning unit may be delineated that includes 2 or more land uses or land types.

The Guides are based on the following assumptions:

- 1. All land and water provides habitat for wildlife.
- 2. The quality of habitat is variable depending on the quality, quantity and interspersion of food, cover, water and space.
- 3. Habitat elements can be measured and compared to optimum conditions.
- 4. Wildlife populations are proportional to the quality and quantity of habitat available. A 400 acre planning unit may have potential to provide more diverse habitat and thus a greater variety of wildlife than does a 40 acre unit. Likewise, a 1,000 acre unit is more apt to have more potential than does a 400 acre unit. Wildlife use of an area is dependent on the variety of habitats it supports and the area's size.

These guides can be used to determine if a conservation planning unit meets the minimum quality criteria for wildlife as directed in Section III (B.) of the electronic Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG). (See Section III - Resource Management Systems (RMS) - Animals in the eFOTG). Conservation practices and management measures can be identified to meet the minimum RMS standard or to meet the higher habitat quality objectives of the landowner. These guides <u>are not</u> intended to be used to evaluate the potential for introducing wildlife species not presently found on the planning unit.

The Guides have been developed to consider the needs of a <u>variety of species</u> using a particular land use/cover type, a goal commonly referred to as the management for species richness. They were <u>not</u> developed to evaluate the habitat quality for individual species. The guides may not reflect complete habitat needs or home range requirements for any particular wildlife species. They are intended to evaluate habitat diversity and richness of habitat types in the planning unit. A planning unit that exhibits high habitat diversity is likely to have equally diverse fauna. The cropland habitat guide, for instance, evaluates habitat components for a variety of wildlife species (game and non-game) commonly inhabiting cropland, not just quail. When a landowner is interested in improving or managing habitat for a particular species, a species-specific habitat model should be used.

INTRODUCTION: The following evaluation is designed for use by employees who provide assistance in farm planning and who have limited training and knowledge in wildlife management. It is intended to assist decision makers in understanding the effects of various agricultural practices on wildlife and to provide documentation of the effects of Resource Management System implementation on wildlife resources.

This habitat evaluation is simplified to limit data input and the time required to complete it. It cannot be used to make detailed management recommendations required for intensive management. If the primary objective for a field or planning unit is wildlife, or it is to be intensively managed, a species based wildlife habitat appraisal procedure should be used, and the NRCS Biologist, Grassland/Forestry Specialist or South Carolina Department of Natural Resources biologist contacted.

PROCEDURE:

- (1) Identify all crop, forest, old field, pasture, and wetland areas on the tract or farm. Fields should include borders around them such as woody fence rows that divide crop fields. Hayland should be included with pasture. If a particular type of land use does not seem to fit any of the types listed, contact the state biologist or grassland/forestry specialist.
- (2) If the tract has only one field in a habitat type, or all fields within a habitat type are similar, only one field needs to be evaluated. If the tract has fields that vary in habitat quality within a habitat type, all fields should be inventoried and a weighted average score computed. If there are significant differences in the same field, the field may be divided and more than one evaluation done. For example, if one forest field had a pine plantation on part and an old mixed pine hardwood stand on the remainder, the two areas should be evaluated separately. If more than one of these variations occurs on the farm, use the weighted average score for the land use.

- (3) Complete the worksheet inventories form (see attachments) for the appropriate field(s) and compute the score for each habitat type. This evaluation will provide information on the quality of habitat for the EXISTING CONDITION. Observing what features receive a low score will help the planner determine what habitat limiting factors occur within the planning unit and what conservation practices could be applied to improve the habitat.
- (4) Repeat the evaluation for each of the Resource Management Systems being considered and determine the effects of each of the PLANNED alternatives on the wildlife resource.
- (5) Complete the summary sheet to determine if the selected alternative meets the quality criteria for a Resource Management System and is acceptable to the decision maker. Adjusting the conservation practices or selecting additional practices that improve wildlife habitat can then be presented as an alternative conservation plan to the land owner.

Quality Criteria: In order to meet the Quality Criteria for wildlife habitat, the Habitat Type Index for each land use must have an index greater than 0.75, when wildlife is the primary land use. In general, a habitat index below 0.25 indicates poor habitat, between 0.25 and 0.5 is fair habitat, 0.5 to 0.75 is good and above 0.75 would be excellent habitat.

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR CROPLAND HABITAT

Participant Field			
Observer	Ac	res	
Note: This form may be used for a	ll fields that	are plani	ned and managed alike.
CROPLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST	PLAN
Crop Residue Management		_	
(>75% acreage)			
Continuous no-till (long term)	15		
No-till farming, 3 out of 5 years	12		
No fall tillage only	8		
Conventional and fall tillage	1		
*Add 2 bonus points, if cover crops at with 60% residue left on the surface.		ed	
Crop Species			
(>50% acreage & years)			
Corn, soybeans, sorghum, millets,			
and/or small grains	10		
All else	1		
Distance to forest (>10 ac.) or			
woody cover (>25 ft. wide) connecting to forest (>10 acres).	ıg		
>75% of field within 330 ft.	15		
50 - 75% of field within 330 ft.	10		
25 - 50% of field within 330 ft.	5		
<25% of field within 330 ft	1		
Distance to native herbaceous strips (2		within fie	ld,
such as filter strips, waterways, diver	sions		
>75% of field within 330 ft.	10		
50 - 75 % of field within 330 ft.	7		
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	4		
<25% of field within 330 ft	1		
Percent of Field Perimeter With a Fie			
For each 10% of field perimeter with a			
>25 ft. native herbaceous veg			ooints/10%
> 10 ft. native herbaceous veg >10 ft. mixture of introduced		Add 3 p	ooints/10%
and native herbaceous ve	*	Add 1	point/10%

(A) Total Cropland Habitat Points (100 maximum) (B) Cropland Habitat Index (Total points/100)

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR OLD FIELD HABITAT

(2 acres or more)

Participant	Tract No	
Date Field No	·	
Observer	Acres	
Note: This form may be used for all	fields that are planned a	nd managed alike.
OLD FIELD HABITAT INDEX	POINTS EXIST	PLAN
Species Composition		
Many species of grass, legumes, fort	os (>4) 10	
Stand dominated by a few species (2	2-4) 5	
Stand dominated by a single species	(>75%) 1	
Manipulation (Burning, disking)		
3 year rotation	25	
2 year rotation	15	
Mowing (2-3 year rotation)	10	
Annual or > 3 years rotation	1	
Distance to woody cover (>25 ft. wid	le) connecting	
to forest at least 10 acres in size.	,	
>75% of field within 330 ft.	15	
50 - 75 % of field within 330 ft.	10	
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	5	
<25% of field within 330 ft	1	
Percent of Field in early succession vegetation (1 to 3 yrs. Old)	al herbaceous	
For each 10% of field: add 5 points.	(Max. 50 points)	
(A) Total Old Field Habitat Points (1	.00 maximum)	
(B) Old Field Habitat Index (Total p		

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR

PASTURELAND/HAYLAND HABITAT

Date Field No.		_	lo	•
	·	_		
Observer	Acres			
Note: This form may be used for all fields	that are plani	ned and mo	anaged alike.	
PASTURELAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST	PLAN	
Composition				
(>50% acreage)				
Native warm season mixture (>2) with fort				
Single native grass-legume mixture	9			
Introduced and native grass (>50%) mix	8			
Single legume	7			
Single native warm season grass seeded				
Or managed at forage rates.	6			
Introduced grass with clover	5			
Bermudagrass with small grain	4			
Bahiagrass	3 1			
Fescue or bermudagrass	1			
Prescribed Grazing Plan (528A) or Forag Management (511)	e			
With native grass or legume in mix	10			
Without native grass or legume in mix	3			
Corridor management				
Distance to ungrazed woody cover (>25 f	t. wide)			
connecting to forest at least 10 acres in	size.			
>75% of field within 330 ft.	25			
50 - 75 % of field within 330 ft.	15			
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	10			
<25% of field within 330 ft	1			
Distance to ungrazed native herbaceous o	r NWSG are	as (>25 ft.	wide)	
Such as field border or odd corners, etc.	200	(. == j**	/	
>75% of field within 330 ft.	25			
50 – 75 % of field within 330 ft.	15			
25 – 50 % of field within 330 ft.	10			
<25% of field within 330 ft	1			
Fence rows, cross fencing (>50%)				
with ungrazed woody cover (>10 ft. wide) 15			
with grazed woody cover (>10 ft. wide)	5			

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR

PINE FOREST HABITAT (Predominantly Pine)

Participant		Tract I	No		
Date	Field N	0			
Observer		Acres _			
Note: This form may be used for all pine	e forests that a	ire planne	ed and man	aged alike.	
PINE FOREST HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST	PLAN		
Mature Pine Stand Density, Basal Area					
<60 square ft/ac	25				
60-80 square ft/ac	10				
>80 square ft/ac	1				
OR if no over story: Site (Clear-cut area	ı or pasturela	nd conver	rsions)		
Pine, other than longleaf, regeneration					
<300 trees per acre	25				
300-500 trees per acre	10				
>500 trees per acre, <50 trees per acre	1				
OR if the site is within longleaf pine ra Longleaf Pine Restoration (300-500 tree Ex: 435 trees per acre (10 x 10 spacing) 302 trees per acre (12 x 12 spacing) Must contain a prescribed burning plan Must be Historical Longleaf Pine site OR Early Successional Habitat Manag Prescribed Burning	es per acre) maximum minimum 25	picides)	25		
2-3 year frequency	30				
Every year	10				
>3 year frequency	1				
Distance to native herbaceous cover (>	40 ' wide.>1/2	2 acre in s	ize)		
>75% of stand within 330 ft.	25		_		
50 - 75 % of field within 330 ft.	15				
25 - 50 % of field within 330 ft.	10				
<25% of stand within 330 ft	1				
Composition, >5% of stand (Max. 20 po	oints)		_		
Mast producing oaks (>10" DBH) prese or seedlings planted					
Soft mast producers present or planted su					
Persimmon, blackberry, sumac, elderberr	ry,				
black cherry	10				
(A) Total Pine Forest Habitat Points (100 (B) Pine Forest Habitat Index (Total points)					

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR HARDWOOD FOREST HABITAT (Predominantly Hardwood)

Participant	Tract No	
Date	Field No	
Observer	Acres	
Note: This form may be us	d for all hardwood forests that are planned and managed alike.	

			CONDI ATE SCO	TION PRE VALUE)
	TREE SIZE		NUMB SPECI	SER OF HARDWOOD ES
TREE CLASS	SIZE	1	2 TO 5	> 5
Seedlings	< 3 ' tall	1 point	10 points	15 points
Saplings	> 3 ' tall, < 3" DBH	1 point	15 points	20 points
Poles	3 – 10 " DBH	2 points	20 points	25 points
Saw timber	> 10 " DBH	10 points	25 points	30 points
snags present, regard	v trees or dead (>10") dless of number pecies	2 points	5 points	10 points

PLANNED CONDITION (CIRCLE APPROPRIATE SCORE VALUE)				
TREE SIZE			NUMBER OF HARDWOOD SPECIES	
TREE CLASS	SIZE	1	2 TO 5	> 5
Seedlings	< 3 ' tall	1 point	10 points	15 points
Saplings	> 3 ' tall, < 3" DBH	1 point	15 points	20 points
Poles	3 – 10 " DBH	2 points	20 points	25 points

Saw timber	> 10 " DBH	10	25	30 points
		points	points	
No. of cavity	trees or dead	2	5	10 points
snags	(>10")	points	points	-
planned regar	dless of number	_		
of sp	pecies			

HARDWOOD FOREST HABITAT INDEX POINTS EXIST PLAN

(A) Total Hardwood Forest Habitat Points (100 maximum)	
(B) Hardwood Forest Habitat Index (Total points/100)	

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) WORKSHEET FOR RIPARIAN HABITAT

Participant	Tract No.	
Date	Field No	
Observer		
Note: This form may be used for riparian a	reas adjacent to	streams, ponds, and/or wetlands.
RIPARIAN HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXIST PLAN
Species Composition (>50 % of the area)		
Mixed hardwood	25	
Mixed Pine-Hardwood	20	
Native shrubs and/or herbaceous		
vegetation	15	
Pine trees 1		
Width of Riparian Area (>50 % of the area))	
>100 feet	25	
51-99 feet	20	
35-50 feet	15	
15-49 feet	10	
<15 feet	1	
Grazed or ungrazed (>50 % of the area)		
Ungrazed	25	
Grazed	5	
Tree canopy cover		
. >75 percent canopy cover	25	
50-74 percent canopy cover	20	
25-49 percent canopy cover	15	
<25 percent canopy cover	1	
(A) Total Riparian Habitat Index Poi	ints (100 max	imum)
(B) Riparian Habitat Index (Total po		

WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION SUMMARY CALCULATION SHEET EXISTING CONDITION

HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X = X =
X = X = X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X = X = X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X = X = X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X =
X =
X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =

WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION SUMMARY CALCULATION SHEET PLANNED CONDITION

HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X =
X = X = X =
X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X =
X = X = X =
X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X =
X = = X = = X = =
X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
HABITAT FIELD HABITAT WEIGHTED HABITAT TYPE TYPE NO. INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
X =
X =
X = TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =

HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI) SUMMARY

The tract or farm habitat index is calculated by taking the sum of the weighted habitat indexes divided by the total acres in the planning area.

EXISTING CONDITION

HABITAT HABITAT WEIGHTED FARM/TRACT
TYPE INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
Cropland X =
Old Field Habitat X =
Pastureland/Hayland X =
Pine Forest X = Hardwood Forest X = Riparian Habitat X =
Riparian Habitat X =
Topulai Tuoliai
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
PLANNED CONDITION
I LANNED CONDITION
HABITAT HABITAT WEIGHTED FARM/TRACT TYPE INDEX ACRES INDEX INDEX
Cropland X =
Old Field Habitat X =
Pastureland/Hayland X =
Pine Forest X =
Hardwood Forest X =
Riparian Habitat X =
TOTAL
Total Wt. Index / Total acres =
*Total Weighted Index of Planned Condition must be 0.75 or greater to meet RMS Qualit Criteria.
For use with cost-share programs that require a NET Increase in HTI
HABITAT TYPE INDEX (HTI)
NET EFFECT OF PLAN
NET EFFECT OF TEAN
(Planned Farm/Tract Index - Existing Farm/Tract Index) = Net Effect of Plan
(I minica I aimi I i act index - Daising I aimi I i act index) — Net Effect of I fan
• =

STREAM ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE

(Modified from Stream Visual Assessment Protocol, December, 1998)

Landowner's Name:		Date:
County:	Prepared by:	

INSTRUCTIONS: Evaluate a reach of stream equal to about 10 times the average width of the stream. Circle the appropriate score or interpolate between the scores. See the considerations below in completing assessment.

- Ditches may also be assessed if that have perennial or intermittent flow, or if they would qualify for CRP Riparian Forest Buffer.
- Channel widths, depths, and active flood plains are based on bank full elevations. Bank full flow corresponds to a 1.5 to 2 years storm event.
- Flood prone areas are based on width at two times the maximum depth of the stream at bank full flow. If the flow is contained within the channel at two times the maximum depth, then the channel is incised.
- Flooding occurs when the water level reaches the active flood plain. An adequate flood plain is generally 1.5 to 2 times the width of the average stream width at bank full elevation.

1. Channel Condition (adequate floodplain is generally at least 2 times the channel width)

Natural	Evidence of past	Altered channel;	Channel is actively
channel; no	channel alteration,	<50% of the reach	down cutting or
structures,	but with significant	with riprap and/or	widening, >50% of
dikes. No	recovery of	channelization.	the reach with riprap
evidence of	channel and banks.	Excess aggradations;	or channelization.
down cutting	Any dikes or	braided channel.	Dikes or levees
or excessive	levees are set back	Dikes or levees	prevent access to the
lateral cutting	to provide access	restrict floodplain.	floodplain.
	to an adequate		
	floodplain.		
10	7	3	1

SCORE:	

2. Hydrology Alteration (flooding is out of bank flooding)

Flooding out of	Flooding occurs	Flooding occurs	No flooding; channel
bank occurs every	only once every 3-	only once every	deeply incised or
1.5 or 2.0 years. No	5 years; limited	6-10 years;	structures prevent
dams, no water	channel incision.	channel deeply	access to floodplain
withdrawals, no	Or withdrawals,	incised. Or	or dam operations
dikes or other	although present,	withdrawals	prevent flood flows.
structures limiting	do not affect	significantly	Or withdrawals have
the stream's access	available habitat	affect available	caused severe loss of
to the floodplain.	for biota.	low flow habitat	low flow habitat. Or
Channel is not		for biota.	flooding occurs on a
incised.			1 year rain event or
			less.
10	7	3	1

SC	\sim To			
	INK	н		
いしい	(/ 1)			

3. Riparian Zone (evaluate general conditions along entire reach, natural vegetation includes hardwood trees, mixed shrubs, and native herbaceous species)

Natural vegetation extends more than 50 feet on each side.	Natural vegetation extends at least 35 feet on each side.	Natural vegetation extends at least 15 feet on each side.	Natural vegetation extends < 15 feet on each side.
10	8	5	1

SCORE:	

4. Bank Stability

Banks are stable;	Moderately stable;	Moderately	Unstable; banks
banks are low and at	banks are low;	unstable; banks are	are high and
elevation of active	<33% of eroding	high and flooding	eroding in some
floodplain; 33% or	banks are on	occurs 1 year out of	straight reaches
more of eroding banks	outside bends and	5 or less frequently.	and inside
are on outside bends	are protected by	Outside banks are	banks;
and are protected by	roots extending	actively eroding	numerous slope
roots extending into	into the base flow.	with some slope	failures.
the base flow		failures.	
elevation.			
10	7	3	1

SCORE:	

5. Water Appearance

Very clear; or	Occasionally	Considerable	Very turbid or
clear but tea	cloudy, especially	cloudiness most of	muddy appearance
colored; objects	after storm event;	the time; objects	most of the time;
visible at depths	but clears rapidly;	visible to depth of .5-	objects visible to
of 3-6 feet. No	objects visible at	1.5 feet; submerged	depth <.5 feet;
noticeable film	depth of 1.5-3 feet;	objects with heavy	heavy coat of film
on surface or	may have slight	green film, or	on surface or
submerged	green color.	moderate odor of	submerged objects;
objects.		ammonia.	strong odor of
			ammonia.
10	7	3	1

SCO)B	$\mathbf{F} \cdot$		
.71.1	<i>,</i> , , ,	1/4		

6. Nutrient Enrichment

Clear water	Fairly clear or slightly	Greenish water along	Pea green, gray,
along entire	greenish water along	entire reach; abundance	or brown water
reach; little or	entire reach; moderate	of green macrophytes,	along entire
no algal	algal growth on	especially during warm	reach; thick
growth	submerged objects.	months.	algal mats in
present.			stream.
10	7	3	1

SCORE:	

7. Barriers to Fish Movement

No	Seasonal water	Drop	Drop structures,	Drop structures,
barriers;	withdrawals	structures,	culverts, or	culverts, or
natural	inhibit	culverts (<1	dams present	dams (>1 foot
drops <1	movement of	foot drop)	within 3 miles	drop) present
foot.	fish.	present within	of reach.	within reach.
		reach.		
10	8	5	3	1

SCORE:	
BCOKE.	

8. In-stream Fish Cover (cover types: large woody debris, deep pools, overhanging vegetation, boulders/cobble, riffles, undercut banks, thick root mats)

>7 cover	6-7 cover	4-5 cover	2-3 cover	1 or less cover types
types	types	types	types	present.
10	8	5	3	1

SCORE:	
---------------	--

9. Pools

Deep and shallow pools	Pools present, but not	Pools present,	Pools absent;
abundant (>3); pools at	abundant (<3); pools at	but shallow, <3	entire bottom
least 5 ft. deep.	least 3 ft. deep.	ft. deep.	visible.
10	7	3	1

SCORE:	

10. Canopy Cover (Use coldwater or warm water below, not both) Coldwater Fishery (Pickens, Oconee, Greenville Counties above US Hwy 11)

>75% of water surface shaded and upstream 2-3 miles generally shaded.	>50% shaded in reach; or >75% shaded in reach and 2-3 miles upstream poorly shaded.	20-50% shaded.	<20% shaded in reach.
10	7	3	1

Warm water fishery (all area of S.C. except as noted above)

25-90% of reach shaded.	>90% shaded; full canopy.	<25% of surface shaded in reach.
10	7	1

SCORE:	
---------------	--

11. Manure Presence

No livestock accessible to stream, riparian area, or	Evidence of livestock access to riparian area.	Occasional manure in stream; waste storage structure located in	Extensive amount of manure on banks or in
floodplain.	1	floodplain.	stream.
10	5	3	1

SCORE:	
AVERAGE SCORE (TOTAL SCORE / 11):	
Enter score on SC-CPA-52, Water Quality.	

If more detailed analysis is needed use:

12. Beck's Index (Stream macro-invertebrates observed; attach data sheet).

Habitat Quality Rating < 6.0 Poor 6.1 – 7.4 Fair 7.5 – 8.9 Good > 9.0 Excellent

Beck's Index For Stream Macro-invertebrates

(Tally number of individuals in each Taxa)

Group	1 Taxa
-	Stonefly
	Caddis fly
	Water penny
	Riffle beetle
	Gilled snail
	Mayfly
	Dobsonfly (hellgrammite)
Group	2 Taxa
-	Crayfish
	Sow bug
	Scud
	Alderfly larvae
	Fish fly larvae
	Damselfly
	Water snipe fly larvae
	Crane fly
	Beetle larvae
	Dragonfly
	Clam
Group	3 Taxa
-	Aquatic worm
	Midge fly larvae
	Black fly larvae
	Leech
	Pouch snail
	Other enail's

Beck's Index:

(Use total number of different Taxa in each Group)

BI = 2 x (Group 1) + (Group 2) Beck's Index Values

- 0 Stream grossly polluted
- 1-5 Stream moderately polluted
- 6-9 Stream clean, but monotypic habitat
- 10+ Stream clean

Bar line indicate relative size

Stream **Invertebrates**

Group One Taxa

Pollution sensitive organisms found in good quality water.


- 1 Stonefly Order Plecoptera. 1/2" to 1 1/2", 6 legs with hooked antenna, 2 hair-line tails. Smooth (no gills) on lower half of body (see arrow).
- 2 Caddisfly: Order Trichoptera. Up to 1", 6 hooked legs on upper third of body, 2 hooks at back end. May be in a stick, rock, or leaf case with its head sticking out. May have fluffy gill tufts on underside.
- Water Penny: Order Coleoptera. 1/4", flat saucer-shaped body with a raised bump on one side and 6 tiny legs and fluffy gills on the other side. Immature
- 4 Riffle Beetle: Order Coleoptera. 1/4". oval body covered with tiny hairs, 6 legs, antennae. Walks slowly underwater. Does not swim on surface.
- 5 Grilled Snail: Class Gastropoda. Shell opening covered by thin plate called operculum. When opening is facing you, shell usually opens on right
- 6 Mayfly: Order Ephemeroptera. 1/4" to 1", brown, moving, plate-like or feathery gills on the sides of lower body (see below), 6 large hooked legs, antennae, 2 or 3 long hair-like tails. Tails may be webbed together.
- Dobsonfly (hellgrammite): Family Corydalidae, 3/4" to 4", dark-colored, 6 legs, large pinching jaws, eight pairs feelers on lower half of body with paired cotton-like gill tufts along underside, short antennae, 2 tails, and 2 pairs of hooks at back end.

Group Two Taxa Somewhat pollution tolerant organisms can be in good or fair quality water.

- 8 Crayfish: Order Decapoda. Up to 6", 1 large claws, 8 legs, resembles small lobster.
- 9 Sowbug: Order Isopoda, 1/4" to 3/4", gray oblong body wider than it is high, more than 6 legs, long antennae.

Source: Izaak Walton League of America, 707 Conservation Lane, Gaithersburg, MD 20878-2983 (800) BUG-IWLA

(NWCC Technical Note 99-1, Stream Visual Assessment Protocol, December 1998)



Bar line indicate relative size

Group Two TaxaSomewhat pollution tolerant organisms can be in good or fair quality water.

- Scud: Order Amphipoda. 1/4", white to gray, body higher than it is wide, swims sideways, more than 6 legs, resembles small shrimp.
- Alderfly Larva: Family Sialedae, 1" long, Looks like small Hellgramite but has long, thin, branched tail at back end (no hooks). No gill tufts underneath.
- 12 Fishfly Larva: Family Cordalidae. Up to 1/2" long. Looks like small heligramite but often a lighter reedish-tan color, or with eyllowish streaks. No gill tufts underneath.
- Damselfly: Suborder Zugoptera. 1/2" to 1" large eyes, 6 thin hooked legs, 3 broad oar-shaped tails, positioned like a tripod. Smooth (ne gills) on sides of lower half of body. (See arrow.)
- Watersnipe Fly Larva: Family Atherici-dae (Atherix). 1/4" to 1", pale to green, tapered body, many caterpillar-like tegs, coincal head, feathery "homs" at back end.
- Crane Fly: Suborder Nematocera, 1/3" to 2", milky, green, or light brown, plump caterpillar-like segmented body, 4 finger-like lobes at back end,
- 16 Beetle Larva: Order Coleoptera. 1/4" to 1", light-colored, 6 legs on upper half of body, feelers, antennae.
- Dragon fly: Suborder Anisoptera, 1/2" to 2", large eyes, 6 hooked legs. Wide oval to round abdomen.
- 18 Clam: Class Bivalvia.

Group Three Taxa
Pollution tolerant organisms can be in any quality of water.

- 19 Aquatic Worm: Class Oligochaeta. 1/4" to 2", can be very tiny, thin worm-like body.
- 20 Midge Fly Larva: Suborder Nemato-cera. Up to 1/4", dark head, worm-like segmented body, 2 tiny legs on each side.
- Blackfly Larva: Family Simulidae. Up to 1/4", one end of body wider Black head, suction pad on other end.
- 22 Leech: Order Hirudinea. 1/4" to 2", brown, slimy body ends with suction pads.
- 23 Pouch Snail and Pond Snails: Class Gastropoda. No operculum. Breath air When opening is facing you, shell usually open to left..
- 24 Other Snails: Class Gastropoda. No operculum.Breath air. Snail shell coils in one plane.

20

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

Application Evaluation Worksheet WHIP 2007

NRCS-LTP OMB NO. 0578-0 SC Revised 11

Applicant Name:	
Address:	
Application No:	
I. Land Use	Contract Acres
Cropland	
Pasture / Hayland	
Pine Forestland	
Hardwood Forest	
TOTAL CONTRACT ACRES	
II. State WHIP Ranking Criteria	Point Value
Wildlife Habitat Evaluation, Net Effect (Subtract the <i>Existing</i> score from the <i>Planned</i> score and multiply by 100). Note : Planned score must be at least .5 or 50% of maximum.	
AND/OR	
S.C. Stream Assessment (Subtract <i>Existing</i> condition from the <i>Planned</i> anticipated condition and multiply by 10). Note : Panned score must be 7.5 or greater.	
III. Other Benefit Points	Point Value
Early Successional Vegetation (>5 acres) – 10 points	
Planting of Native Warm Season Grasses/Native Legumes (>5 acres) – 10 pts.	
Stream Habitat Restoration and Management (entire stream segment) – 10 points	
Riparian Forest Buffer (>50 feet wide, entire length) – 10 points	
Longleaf Pine Restoration (>10 acres) – 10 points	
No-till cropland (>10 acres) - 10 points (No cost share on no-till)	
Grand Total of II. And III.	

_				
N/ 0 1		-L - J.P-4		(-1
IV. Conservatio	n Practices (See atta	ched list of appro	oved practices for	cost share)

Conservation Practices	Practice Extent (Amount)	Estimated Cost (State Average Cost)	Cost-Share Amount or Rate required by Applican	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NATURAL RESOURCES	Application Evaluation Worksheet		NRCS-LTP OMB NO. 0578-00	
CONSERVATION SERVICE			SC Revised 11	
IV. Certification	<u> </u>			
I acknowledge that I have reviewed contract offer.	d the informa	ation above and the cost-	share percentages reflect	
(Applicant's Sign	nature)		(Date)	
V. Designated NRCS Conservati	onist			
(Conservationist's Signature)			(Date)	
VI. Wildlife Biologist or Grassla	nd/Forestry	Specialist (NRCS, FWS	S, SC DNR)	
(Specialist	: Signature)		(Date)	
		•		

Privacy Act Statement: The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5U.S.C. 522a). Tauthorities for requesting the information to be supplied on this form are: 16 U.S.C. 590a-f (Soil and Water Conservation); U.S.C. 3801 et seq. (Food Security Act of 1985, as amended), and the regulations promulgated there under. The informat requested is necessary for the evaluation of an application, development and implementation of a conservation plan as the basis for satisfying program eligibility and compliance requirements, and for providing technical, educational, or financial assistance under the previously mentioned authorities. Furnishing this information is voluntary, however, failure to furnish correct, complete information will result in the withholding or withdrawal of such technical, educational, or financial assistance. This information maybe furnished to other USDA agencies, the Internal Revenue Service, the Department of Justice, or other State, or Federal law enforcement agencies, or in response to orders of a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal.

OMB DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Public Reporting burden for this collection of information is approximately 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture Clearance Officer OIRM, AG Br. 7630, Washington, D.C. 20250-7630; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB N 0578-0013), Washington, D.C. 20503.

APPROVED PRACTICES FOR COST SHARE

Required Practices (At least one of the following must be planned):

- 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
- 644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
- 657 Wetland Development or Restoration
- 395 Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
- 647 Early Successional Habitat Management

Associated Practices:

- 560 Access Road
- 342 Critical Area Planting (native grasses/legumes only)
- 356 Dike
- 386 Field Borders (early successional vegetation or planted native grasses/legumes only)
- 394 Firebreaks
- 490 Forest Site Preparation
- 666 Forest Stand Improvement
- 422 Hedgerow Planting (Shrubs and Mast producing hardwoods)
- 460 Land Clearing (permanent firebreaks and forest openings 2 acres or less only)
- 338 Prescribed Burning
- 391 Riparian Forest Buffer
- 612 Tree/Shrub Establishment (Longleaf Pines, and Hardwoods up to 2 acres in plots)
- 512 Pasture/Hayland Planting (Native Warm Season Grasses only)
- 382 Fence (livestock exclusion)